1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	APPLE, INC., a California corporation,)
12) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK
13	v.) VERDICT FORM
15 16 17 18 19 20	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company, Defendants and Counterclaimants.
21	We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them
22	under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case:
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK VERDICT FORM

APPLE'S PATENT CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG

1. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. ("SEC"), Samsung Electronics America ("SEA"), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America ("STA") has infringed Claim 9 of the '647 Patent?

Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

v	PRINCIPLE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF	Transport of Boraco (Contractor)	■ No stand the hold during a transfer to the second principle of the first	11
7	Accused Samsung Product	Samsung	Samsung	Samsung
8		Electronics Co., Ltd.	Electronics America, Inc.	Telecommunications America, LLC
9	Admire (JX28B, JX28C)	У		У
10	Galaxy Nexus (JX29A, JX29B, JX29C, JX29D,			,
11	JX29E, JX29G, JX29H, JX29I, JX72)	y	i .	У
12	Galaxy Note (JX30A, JX30B, JX30C)	y	-	Y
13	Galaxy Note II (JX31A,	, ,		У У
14	JX31B, JX31C) Galaxy S II (JX32A, JX32B,	•		
15	JX32C, JX32D, JX32E, JX32F)	y		У
16	Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch (JX33A, JX33B, JX33C)	У		Y
17	Galaxy S II Skyrocket			
18	(JX34A, JX34B, JX34C, JX34D)	У		У
19	Galaxy S III (JX35A, JX35B, JX35C, JX35D, JX35E,			
20	JX35F, JX35G, JX35H,			
21	JX351, JX35J, JX35L, JX35M, JX35N, JX35O)	y		y
22	Stratosphere (JX37A, JX37B)	У		У
i				•

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

2. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. ("SEC"), Samsung Electronics America ("SEA"), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America ("STA") has infringed Claim 25 of the '959 Patent?

Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung S Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunicat America, LLO
Admire (JX28B, JX 28C)	N		N
Galaxy Nexus (JX29A, JX29B,			
JX29C, JX29D, JX29E, JX29G, JX29H, JX29I)	N		N
Galaxy Note (JX30A, JX30B, JX 30C)	N		NI
Galaxy Note II (JX31A,	IN.		IN .
JX31B, JX31C)	N		Ν
Galaxy S II (JX32B, JX32C, JX32E, JX32F)	Ν		N
Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch (JX33A, JX33B, JX33C)	N		N
Galaxy S II Skyrocket (JX34A, JX34B, JX34C)	. N		N
Galaxy S III (JX35G, JX35H, JX35I, JX35J, JX35M, JX35O)	N		·N
Galaxy Tab 2 10.1 (JX36C)	N	Ν	N
Stratosphere (JX37A)	N ,		N

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK VERDICT FORM

For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. ("SEC"), Samsung Electronics America ("SEA"), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America ("STA") has infringed Claim 20 of the '414 Patent? 3.

Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

5				M. and S.
6	Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
7	Admire (JX28B, JX 28C)	Ν	3. V Marie de la Companya de la comp	Ν
8	Galaxy Nexus (JX29A, JX29B, JX29C, JX29D,			
9	JX29E, JX29G, JX29H, JX29I, JX72)	N ,		N
10	Galaxy Note (JX30A, JX30B,		:	
11	JX 30C)	N		N
	Galaxy Note II (JX31A,	Ν		N
12	JX31B, JX31C) Galaxy S II (JX32A, JX32B,	/ \		10
13	JX32C, JX32D, JX32E,			
14	JX32F)	N		N
14	Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch (JX33A, JX33B, JX33C)	N		N
15	Galaxy S II Skyrocket			
16	(JX34A, JX34B, JX34C, JX34D)	N		Ν
17	Galaxy S III (JX35A, JX35B,			
18.	JX35C, JX35D, JX35E, JX35F, JX35G, JX35H,			
19	JX35I, JX35J, JX35L, JX35M, JX35N, JX35O)	N		Ν
20	Galaxy Tab 2 10.1 (JX36A, JX36B, JX36C, JX36D)	N	7	N
21	Stratosphere (JX37A, JX37B)	N		N

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

4. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. ("SEC") and/or Samsung Telecommunications America ("STA") has infringed Claim 8 of the '721 Patent?

Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronies America, inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Admire (JX28B)	Y		У
Galaxy Nexus (JX29A, JX29B, JX29C, JX29D, JX29E, JX29H, JX29I, JX72)	ý		У
Galaxy S II (JX32C, JX32E)	N		N
Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch (JX33A, JX33B)	Ņ		N
Galaxy S II Skyrocket (JX34C)	N		Ν
Stratosphere (JX37A)	У	A 1999	У

ŀ

VERDICT FORM

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

5. If you found that Samsung Electronics America ("SEA") or Samsung Telecommunications America ("STA") infringed in Questions 1-4, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. ("SEC") took action that it knew or should have known would induce SEA or STA to infringe the '647 Patent, '959 Patent, '414 Patent, and/or '721 Patent?

Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

Accused Samsung Product	'647 Patent	'959 Pätent	2414 Patent	721 Patent
Admire (JX28)	У	Ν	Ν	Y
Galaxy Nexus (JX29)	У	Ν	·N	У
Galaxy Note (JX30)	У	Ν	N	
Galaxy Note II (JX31)	У	N	N	
Galaxy S II (JX32)	Y	Ν	Ν	N
Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch (JX33)	Y	7	N	N
Galaxy S II Skyrocket (JX34)	Ý	7	N	N
Galaxy S III (JX35)	Y	Ν	N	
Galaxy Tab 2 10.1 (JX36)		N	N	
Stratosphere (JX37)	У	Ν.	Ν	Y

6. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. ("SEC") took action it knew or should have known would contribute to infringement of the '647 Patent, '959 Patent, '414 Patent, and/or '721 Patent?

Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

Accused Samsung Product	7647 Patent	'959 Patent	2414 Patent	'721 Patent
Admire (JX28)	V	. Ar	A /	
Galaxy Nexus (JX29)	y V	V1 1A	N f	у У
Galaxy Note (JX30)	/ /	N	N	/
Galaxy Note II (JX31)	Ý	N	N	
Galaxy S II (JX32)	Y	N	N'	Ν
Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch (JX33)	У	N	N	Ν
Galaxy S II Skyrocket (JX34)	У	N .	N	N
Galaxy S III (JX35)	У	N	N	
Galaxy Tab 2 10.1 (JX36)	·	7	Ν	
Stratosphere (JX37)	У	N	N	У

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

VERDICT FORM

7.	Has Apple proven by clear and convincing evidence that the Samsung entity's
	infringement of any of the following patents was willful?

Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

Apple Patents	Samsung Electronics Co., Etd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
'647 Patent (Claim 9)	N		N
'959 Patent (Claim 25)	N	Ν	N
'414 Patent (Claim 20)	N	<i>N</i>	N
'721 Patent (Claim 8)	У		У
'172 Patent (Claim 18)	N		N

8. Has Samsung proven by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's asserted patent claims are invalid?

'647 Patent (Claim 9)	Yes (for Samsung)	No X (for Apple)
'959 Patent (Claim 25)	Yes (for Samsung)	No X (for Apple)
'414 Patent (Claim 20)	Yes (for Samsung)	No X (for Apple)
'721 Patent (Claim 8)	Yes (for Samsung)	No X (for Apple)
'172 Patent (Claim 18)	Yes (for Samsung)	No X (for Apple)

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK VERDICT FORM

DAMAGES TO APPLE FROM SAMSUNG (IF APPLICABLE) 9. What is the total dollar amount that Apple is entitled to receive from Samsung on the claims on which you have ruled in favor of Apple? \$ 119, 625,000.00 10. a. For the total dollar amount in your answer to Question 9, please provide in the chart on the next page the dollar breakdown for each product. Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

	1									_	
1 2 3 4	TOTAL	2,655,675 11,627,549	5,604,431	2,844,083	8,684,775	8,625,560	14,184,534	8,316,927	52,404,721	8	2,673,620 7,332,420
5		75 /					1.5		~)		0
6	172 Patent Claim 18	5,6	10'6	6,34			1,40	976			29/6
7 8	1722 Clai	2,63	1,579,050	1,166,343		B	004,400,4	5,849,662			1,673
9	SP4***						~		-		
10	tent	1,372,696	867, 28/								48
11	721 Patent Claim 8	72,	129			B	0	8			750,648
12		1.3	, w								75,
13	-						-				
14	414 Paten Claim 20				_	~	*	7	_	7	Ø
15	414 Patent Claim 20	Ø	Ø	0	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø		Ø	
16											
17	ent 35										
18 19	'959 Patent Claim 25	Ø	D	Ø	Ø	Ø	B	B	B	Ø	B
20							.				
21		78	0	ρ,	75	0,0	3%	ý	12/		2
22	547 Pateni Claim 9	1'6	0/'8	46,	1.4.1	5,56	5,/3	,26	L'74		5/′
23	647 Patent Claim 9	2, 599,178	3,158,100	046776911	8,684,775	8,425,540	10,165,134	572'17/12	121,404,721		3,908,152
24		<u>~~</u>	3	1/1	8	ω,	01	3	25		3
25	ت 50 س		snx	ote	te II	П	Epic h	II 3t	Ш	.b 2	ere .
26	Accused Samsung Product	Admire (JX28)	y Ne. X29)	Xy NC X30)	y Not X31)	Galaxy S II (JX32)	xy S II G Touc (JX33)	Galaxy S II Skyrocket (JX34)	xy S X35)	Galaxy Tab 2 10.1 (JX36)	Stratosphere (JX37)
27	Ac Sar Pr	AC (J.	Galaxy Nexus (JX29)	Galaxy Note (JX30)	Galaxy Note II (JX31)	Gals (J.	Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch (JX33)	Gals Sky (J.	Galaxy S III (JX35)	Galay 1 (J.	Strat (J
28							0				

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK VERDICT FORM

Accused Samsung Product | August 1, 2011 =

1 2

b. For the dollar amount in your answer to Question 10.a., please provide the dollar breakdown for the following products and following periods:

July 1, 2012 –

August 25, 2012 -

3	
4	
5	
6	

	June 30, 2012	August 24, 2012	Present
Galaxy S II (JX32)	6,203,849	298,099	2,123,612
Galaxy S II Epic 4G Touch			
(JX33)	8,008,191	1,081,684	5,094,659
Galaxy S II Skyrocket		_	
(JX34)	6,780,043	807,490	729,394

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

1 SAMSUNG'S PATENT CLAIMS AGAINST APPLE 2 11. For each of the following products, has Samsung proven by a preponderance of the 3 evidence that Apple has infringed Claim 15 of the '239 Patent? 4 Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Samsung), or with an "N" for "no" (for Apple). 5 Accused Apple Product 239 Patent 6 iPhone 4 (JX38) N iPhone 4S (JX39) 7 Ν iPhone 5 (JX40) N 8 9 12. For each of the following products, has Samsung proven by a preponderance of the 10 evidence that Apple has infringed Claim 27 of the '449 Patent? 11 Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Samsung), or with an "N" for "no" (for Apple). 12 13 Accused Apple Product '449 Patent iPhone 4 (JX38) Y 14 iPhone 4S (JX39) У 15 iPhone 5 (JX40) Y iPod touch, 4th gen. (JX45) 16 iPod touch, 5th gen. (JX46) 17 18 13. Has Samsung proven by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's infringement of 19 any of the following patents after April 18, 2012 was willful? 20 Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Samsung), or with an "N" for "no" (for Apple). 21 Samsung Patents Apple 22 '239 Patent (Claim 15) '449 Patent (Claim 27) 23 24 25 26 27 28

11

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

٠						
1	DAMAGES TO SAMSUNG FROM APPLE (IF APPLICABLE)					
2				-		
3	14. What is t the claim	he total dollar amount that Sa s on which you have ruled in f	ollar amount that Samsung is entitled to receive from Apple on hyou have ruled in favor of Samsung?			
4						
5	\$ <u>158,400.00</u> .					
. 6						
7	15. For the total dollar amount in your answer to Question 14, please provide in the chart below the dollar breakdown for each product. Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.					
8						
9						
10	Accused App Product	le '449 Patent Claim 27	239 Patent Claim 15	TOTAL		
11	iPhone 4	Ciain 21	Cjaim 13			
12	(JX38)	20,591.00	Ø	20,591.00		
13	iPhone 4S (JX39)	28,474.00	Ø.	28,474.00		
14 15	iPhone 5 (JX40)	41,514.00	Ø.	41,514.00		
16	iPod Touch, 4th g (JX46)	40,597.00		40,597 ,00		
17	iPod Touch, 5th g (JX45)	en. 27, 224.00		27, 224.00		
18 19						
20	Have the presiding juror sign and date this form.					
21						
22	Ω					
23	Signed: Mark trunhan Date: 5/2/2014 PRESIDING JUROR					
24						
25				·		
26						
27						

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

VERDICT FORM